Watershed-based Strategy For Ireating AND

Jeff Skousen Paul Ziemkiewicz

West Virginia University

Thousands of point-source discharges of acid mine drainage in Appalachia

Seattle

8 CHINGTON

vi 😪 a

Ppia

Portland

Solem

OREGON BOISE DATE Sacramento En Erancisco This map depicts all 48,529

Priority 1, 2, and 3 abandoned coal mine sites listed in the enhanced Abandoned Mine Lands Inventory System (eAMLIS) database maintained by the Office of Surface Mining (OSM).

This map excludes all mines specifically listed under non-coal programs (NCA, NCF, NH1, and NH2). Red depicts "Priority 1" and "Priority 2" sites which threaten the "health, safety and general welfare of public. Orange depicts "Priority 3" AML problems known to be impacting the environment.







Eriendsv Each red flag represents a WATER SAMPLE LOCATION, most with water quality issues.





Area of Interest

Preston County, WV Muddy Creek Drainage

Morgantown WVU

AMD staining stream – Muddy Creek

Many km of streams with AMD!

Contaminated Streams flow into larger streams/rivers Muddy Creek into Cheat River

95% of AMD from abandoned mine land (AML

With discoveries in passive treatment, we had ways to deal with these small AMD discharges.



Thousands of these have been constructed Cherry Creek Wetland, WV

OLC, LLB – WV

The Anna Contraction of the Anna and an and the

Anaerobic Wetland – WV

106 101+146

But... in most cases, these point-discharge treatment systems didn't do much for the stream. Streams polluted from other sources.

Unless many systems....

The USA Clean Water Act

...to restore and maintain the chemical, physical and biological integrity of the <u>Nation's waters.</u>

Not Point-Discharges!

If we want to restore streams, it's got to be more than treating one small discharge



How do we get from here to here?

Objectives, Strategy, Tactics These must be unified!

The Objective

Restore Stream Miles

Strategy

- Money
- Planning
- Political will

Tactics Treatment methods:

- Active....
- Passive....

- Funds are finite.
- Realistic objectives.
- ID designated uses.
- Metrics: stream length recovered.
- Pass/fail: fishery or no fishery.

- Develop a strategy that supports objective.
- Build alliances.
- Find funding & support including Capital \$\$, Operation \$\$.

- ID treatment options.
- Cost/Benefit analysis.
- Implement plan.
- Measure results.
- Assess performance.

Objectives, Strategy, Tactics Reasons for Failure!

The Objective

Restore Stream Miles

Strategy

Money

• Planning

Political will

Tactics Treatment methods:

- Active....
- Passive....

The project will fail if:

- Muddled objectives.
- Conflicting and competing interests.

The project will fail if:

- Strategy does not support objective.
- Supporters see conflicting, mixed interests.

The project will fail if:

- Tactics do not support strategy.
- Performance metrics not met.

Problems with the Point-Source Strategy

Sustainability

- -Declining coal production.
- -Less revenue to the Bond Pool (water trust fund).
- Permit holders spend money treating AMD while leaving little to no useful infrastructure behind.
- -Funds to rebuild AMD treatment facility.
- -Permit liabilities default to the Bond Pool.

Case Study: The Muddy Creek Project



Case Study: The Muddy Creek Project

Tributary to the Cheat River

Muddy Creek was responsible for 50% of the acid load to the Cheat River





Muddy Creek into the Cheat River

Three tributaries

- Fickey Run
- Glade Run
- Martin Ck

were severely polluted.

The Cheat River downstream of Muddy Creek was dead as was Cheat Lake.

Map of the coal mines in the same area (Upper Freeport ~ 2% S)

Purple represents surface mines

Brown represents underground mines

Constructed <u>hundreds</u> of point-source discharge treatment systems – \$12 M

Anaerobic Wetlands Anoxic limestone drains Open Limestone Channels Vertical Flow Wetlands Limestone Ponds

Volunteers and Watershed Groups

Case Study: The Muddy Creek Project

This strategy, at the time, seemed to be the only viable option since there were so many AMD sites, and the liability and funds were so widespread.

The strategy was expensive and did not result in stream recovery.

New idea

A complete stream restoration project was devised for Muddy Creek.

The Muddy Creek project was allowed to move forward because USEPA granted an **in-stream NPDES permit**.

This allowed parties interested in restoring the Cheat River to proceed on a logical basis.

The Objective

Restore Stream Miles

Strategy

Money

Planning

Political will

Tactics Treatment methods:

- Active....
- Passive....

- Funds are finite.
- Realistic objectives.
- ID designated uses.
- Metrics: stream length recovered.
- Pass/fail: fishery or no fishery.

- Develop a strategy that supports objective.
- Build alliances.
- Find funding & support including Capital \$\$, Operation \$\$.

- ID treatment options.
- Cost/Benefit analysis.
- Implement plan.
- Measure results.
- Assess performance.

Now that we had a useful objective and strategy **Develop a Watershed Improvement Plan 1. Identify pollutant loads/sources** 2. Determine load reduction goals 3. Develop remediation plan a. Treatment strategies **b.**Capital and Operation requirements c. Financing (AML, Bond Forfeiture, Private)

Many AMD treatment units were replaced by the Muddy Creek AMD plant

Rockville Doser

Muddy Creek AMD plant

The Watershed Strategy

- Higher Cap\$: water transfer, central site.
- Lower Op\$: road maintenance, compliance monitoring, QC, supplies.
- Southwestern Energy volunteered to help.

Results

- Stream mile recovery 30 km.
- The Cheat River is now a walleye fishery.
- More attractive to external sponsors.

Point Source vs. Watershed Strategies

	Strategy			
Cost (\$ million)		Point Source		Watershed *
СарХ	\$	12,500,000	\$	15,920,000
Southwestern Energy Contribution			\$	(2,500,000)
Net CapX	\$	12,500,000	\$	13,420,000
OpX per year	\$	1,000,000	\$	530,000
Southwestern Energy Contribution			\$	(350,000)
Net OpX (10 yrs)	\$	10,000,000	\$	1,800,000
Total costs over 10 years	\$	22,500,000	\$	15,220,000
Savings			\$	7,280,000
<u>Stream Length Recovered – km</u>				
Muddy Creek		0		5.1
Cheat River		0		25.6
Total Stream Recovery		0		30.7

Morgan Run

Lick Run

Pringle Run

A plan is designed to treat these four tributaries

Watershed-Scale Strategy Conclusions

At-source AMD treatment is typically inefficient
 High cost - construction
 Low watershed benefit

Watershed-scale AMD treatment strategies are efficient

 Lower cost over long-term
 High watershed benefit-MDL compliance

Muddy Creek

Muddy Creek into Cheat River April 6, 2010 – Before

Greens Run

Muddy Creek into Cheat River April 4, 2019 – After, alkaline

Greens Run

Muddy Creek AMD Plant

Discharge

Clarifiers

Water Intake

Lime Silo

Pump House

Control Center

Muddy Creek

Geotubes

Anaerobic Wetland 15 years later Maintenance?