# Agronomic Assessment and Growth Media Management Practices for Reclamation Cover Systems

American Society for Reclamation Sciences

June 7, 2023



## Who is this guy? And what does he care about?







#### Western Australia

Salvaged growth media contained elevated sodium which created a dispersive soil

> Erosion features were prominent and frequent



#### Nevada

Growth media was too clayey which contributed to upward migration of generated acid

Resulting soil chemistry has precluded revegetation



#### Nevada

Growth media had elevated nitrogen and cheatgrass dominant seed bank

Cheatgrass outcompetes desirable vegetation establishment



#### California

Salvaged growth media was exhausted, so run of mine material was used for reclamation

No fines available to make precipitation available to plants



#### Nevada

Borrowed growth media was salvaged from an alluvial area where salt lenses accumulated

Lysimeter test failed because desirable vegetation would not establish in adverse soil chemical conditions



#### New Mexico

Rather than salvaging topsoil, deep alluvial material was salvaged

Sandy soils with elevated salt content exacerbate drought impacts, making establishing desirable vegetation difficult



# Mistakes Were Made

What has changed in the past 20 years?

Reclamation science has evolved



# What can we do moving forward to improve outcomes?

- Salvage the right topsoil / growth media materials
- Set reasonable
  expectations of
  reclamation potential



We need to identify and plan salvage of suitable materials for closure activities:

• Should consider special use cases, like ET covers, liners, mitigation requirements, etc.

We also need to identify deleterious materials and exclude them from salvage.

• Need to understand what physical or chemical parameters may be problematic.

We should evaluate suitability of materials to support plant growth early in any project.



#### Understand which adverse conditions may be problematic for reclamation.

Tables – Sourc	e of Reclamation Material — Summary By Map Unit					8					
	Summary by Ma	p Unit –	- Owyhee County Area, Idaho (ID67	5)		0					
Summary by Map Unit — Owyhee County Area, Idaho (ID675)											
Map unit symbol	Map unit name		Component name (percent)	Rating reasons (numeric values)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI					
44	Dehana-Nagitsy association, 2 to 50 percent slopes	Fair	Dehana (45%)	Too acid (0.95)	157.0	11.6%					
			Nagitsy (30%)	Droughty (0.26)							
				Low content of organic matter (0.88)							
				Depth to bedrock (0.90)							
50	Doodlelink-Snell-Parkay complex, 5 to 40 percent slopes	Fair	Doodlelink (40%)	Droughty (1.00)	3.3	0.2%					
			Snell (20%)	Droughty (0.19)							
				Too clayey (0.84)							
				Low content of organic matter (0.88)							
				Depth to bedrock (1.00)							
			Parkay (15%)	Droughty (0.86)							
62	Gaib-Wareagle-Rock outcrop association, 2 to 50 percent		Gaib (35%)	Droughty (0.00)	227.5	16.8%					
	slopes			Depth to bedrock (0.00)							
				Stone content (0.84)							
				Too acid (0.95)							
110	Nagitsy-Rock outcrop-Parkay complex, 3 to 30 percent slopes		Nagitsy (35%)	Droughty (0.13)	56.6	4.2%					
				Depth to bedrock (0.97)							
			Parkay (15%)	Droughty (0.86)							

Develop a growth media suitability table.

Soil Laboratory Results - Suitability Criteria										
Paramater	Method	Acceptable Average Values	Units							
pH (paste)	1:1 Saturated Paste	6 - 8.3	N/A (unitless)							
Electrical Conductivity	1:1 Saturated Paste	< 6	mmhos/cm							
Organic Matter	Walkley-Black	< 10	% of Total Soil							
NO3-N	KCL Extraction	> 0.1+	ppm							
Phosphorus (P)	DTPA Extraction	> 1+	ppm							
Potassium (K)	DTPA Extraction	> 20+	ppm							
Zinc (Zn)	DTPA Extraction	> 0.25 <sup>+</sup>	ppm							
Iron (Fe)	DTPA Extraction	> 1.0+	ppm							
Manganese (Mn)	DTPA Extraction	> 0.1+	ppm							
Copper (Cu)	DTPA Extraction	> 0.1+	ppm							
Texture	By Hydrometer	No Textural Extremes	% Size Fraction							
Calcium (Ca)	EPA Method 3050B	Addressed as SAR	meq/L							
Magnesium (Mg)	EPA Method 3050B	Addressed as SAR	meq/L							
Sodium (Na)	EPA Method 3050B	Addressed as SAR	meq/L							
Sodium Adsorption Ratio	EPA Method 3050B	< 15	N/A (unitless)							



+ Values Can Be Increased Through OM Additions

Collect samples and observational data during

baseline assessment and conduct analytical testing.

		paste			%					ppmmeq/L									
Sample ID	Depth (Inches)	рН	EC mmhos/ cm	% Organic Matter	Sand	Silt	Clay	Texture	N O 3 - N	Р	к	Zn	Fe	Mn	Cu	Ca	Mg	Na	SAR
TP 1- A	0- 10	8.66	0.8	3.3	25	33	42	clay	13.2	2.8	179.7	0.1	2.5	1.0	1.6	0.8	0.1	8.0	12.0
TP1-B	10-60	8.84	0.9	3.2	30	27	43	clay	3.1	2.4	129.0	0.1	2.0	1.0	1.3	1.2	0.2	9.2	11.3
TP1-C	60-100	9.11	0.7	0.9	32	25	43	clay	0.1	5.2	48.4	0.0	2.0	0.1	0.5	0.6	0.1	7.2	11.6
TP2-A	0-9	8.04	0.9	5.7	33	13	54	clay	11.7	3.9	384.7	0.4	5.4	1.5	2.4	3.0	0.8	3.0	2.2
TP2-B	9- 19	7.91	1.4	5.5	26	19	55	clay	13.7	3.3	304.5	0.5	4.8	1.7	2.4	7.0	2.7	8.2	3.7
TP2-C	19-96	8.65	0.2	0.8	28	18	54	clay	0.1	2.7	18.9	0.0	14	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.2	1.2	1.7
TP2-D	96-110	8.57	0.3	1.3	38	5	57	clay	0.1	3.5	31.5	0.0	14	0.1	0.4	11	0.3	2.4	2.9
TP3-A	0-6	7.76	1.8	3.8	40	24	36	clay loam	0.1	3.6	21.6	0.0	16	0.9	0.4	21.7	5.5	3.1	0.8
TP 3- B	6-48	8.40	1.3	1.1	41	22	37	clay loam	13.1	15	317.5	0.1	12	0.2	0.6	1.7	0.9	15.3	13.3
TP3-C	48-84	9.56	1.6	3.3	43	22	35	clay loam	0.1	2.1	61.9	0.0	2.9	0.1	0.3	1.3	2.9	22.8	15.6
TP3-D	84-132	10.01	0.8	0.7	42	22	36	clay loam	0.1	2.5	271.2	0.1	16	0.5	1.4	0.4	0.1	18.2	35.7
TP4-A	0-7	8.29	0.2	1.8	55	17	28	sandy clay loam	0.1	2.9	195.0	0.1	3.0	0.4	11	1.2	0.2	0.7	0.8
TP 4- B	7- 14	8.23	0.2	2.1	53	17	30	sandy clay loam	0.1	9.0	126.6	0.1	3.1	0.6	11	1.4	0.3	0.5	0.5
TP4-C	14-48	8.26	0.3	2.1	53	18	29	sandy clay loam	0.1	6.1	58.1	0.1	2.1	0.5	0.7	1.4	0.4	0.8	0.9
TP4-D	48-84	8.86	0.5	0.9	54	15	31	sandy clay loam	0.1	6.8	38.6	0.1	1.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.2	5.2	9.5
TP5-A	0-6	8.06	2.6	4.7	40	18	42	clay	38.0	7.5	461.0	0.3	3.3	2.1	1.6	16.1	3.6	17.8	5.7
TP5-B	6-32	8.20	2.1	4.3	36	21	43	clay	11.9	7.0	241.1	0.2	2.9	1.5	1.4	8.6	2.0	26.6	11.5
TP 5- D	32-72	8.08	3.3	3.4	35	21	44	clay	0.1	8.3	127.2	0.1	3.1	0.6	1.2	14.9	3.3	35.0	11.6
Ave	rage	8.53	1.1	2.7	39	20	41	clay	5.9	4.5	167.6	0.1	2.5	0.7	1.1	4.7	1.3	10.3	8.4



Develop a growth media salvage map and growth media

balance.



### Set reasonable expectations for revegetation potential

Historically, we have looked to native communities adjacent to mine disturbances as our target for reclamation.

Essentially.

### "We will make it look like it used to here"

# Set reasonable expectations for revegetation potential

On reclaimed sites, we are engineering a novel soil profile.

 It is often some nominal depth of growth media over some waste materials.

We need to be realistic about the revegetation potential of reclamation during permitting.



### Set reasonable expectations for revegetation potential

Plant rooting profiles are vastly different than native ecosystems and that often impacts the plant community assemblages found on reclaimed sites.







Our goal should be to create functional self-sustaining ecosystems which are set on a trajectory of ecological succession.

# Constructing Cover Systems

- Important considerations for borrow sites:
  - o Balancing depth versus surface disturbance
  - o Hauling distances matter
  - o Understanding sequencing of materials
  - Potential for special handling
  - Need solid BMPs



