Statistical Modeling of Mine Pool Formation in Underground Coal Mines of Ohio

Lindsey Schafer, Dina Lopez, Natalie Kruse, Jen Bowman, Frederick Twumasi, Robert Delach, Nora Sullivan, Rebecca Steinberg, Zachary Matthews



Overview of Acid Mine Drainage and Mine Pool Formation

- Formation of mine pool and discharge to the surface
- SMCRA requires the estimation of probable hydrologic consequences
- Highest point of mining is not an accurate prediction of post-mining water level
 - Post-mining water level is used to determine areas of a mine that may have post-mining water levels greater than the surface elevation



Statistical Modeling of Mine Pool Formation

- Study hydrogeological parameters of mine pools and create a method to determine if a mine pool will develop and potentially discharge to the surface
- Our hypothesis is that the formation of mine pools depends on hydrogeological parameters and properties of the surrounding rocks and coal



Objectives

- Acquisition of data from mines active in the last 35 years
 - D law permit mines investigated (post-SMCRA)
 - Typically contain more hydrological and geological data
- Creation of a statistical model on mine pool formation
 - Identify key parameters that affect potentiometric head within an underground coal mining area



Task 1: Data Acquisition

- Data was collected from public sources
- 28 mine permits received from ODNR
- Collected data on boreholes, wells in permits and quarterly monitoring report



Task 2: Multivariate Statistical Analysis

- Performed using the program "The Unscrambler X" by CAMO Software AS
- Principal component analysis (PCA)
- Multiple linear regression (MLR)
- Principal component regression (PCR)
- Partial least squares regression (PLS)



Principal Component Analysis

 Identifies an axis in multidimensional space that better represents the variance of the data (projection of data in axis)



(after CAMO Software AS, 2018)



Principal Component Regression

PCR Procedure

 Relates variance in Y (response) to variance in X (predictor) using the principal components found in PCA as the regressors



(after CAMO Software AS, 2018)



Partial Least Squares Regression



This diagram considers the generalized case of having multiple Y and X variables multidimensional direction in the X space that explains the maximum multidimensional variance direction in the Y space

Finds the

 Represented by a linear regression model

(after CAMO Software AS, 2018)





Multi-Mine Multivariate Statistical Analysis

- Multi-mine without water withdrawal
 - 11 mines analyzed (9 unique mines)
 - Two mines mined two seams so they were treated as four different mines
 - Data from 381 wells was compiled
 - 22 outliers were removed
 - 322 wells were used as predictors
 - 38 wells were used as validators



Multi-Mine Multivariate Statistical Analysis

- Multi-mine with NPDES withdrawal
 - -7 mines analyzed
 - Wells with data sometime between 2007-2017
 - Data from 111 wells was compiled
 - 13 outliers were removed
 - 88 wells were used as predictors
 - 10 were used as validators



X Variables Analyzed

- Surface elevation of well (msl)
- Bottom of well elevation (msl)
- Overburden thickness (ft)
- Thickness of mined coal seam (ft)
- Thickness of shale + clay (ft)
- Thickness of sandstone (ft)
- Thickness of limestone (ft)
- Accumulative coal volume (Mm³)
- Area of underground mines within a 4 mile buffer (acres)
- Average annual precipitation (in)



Y Variables analyzed

- Average potentiometric head (msl)
- Maximum potentiometric head (msl)
- Minimum potentiometric head (msl)



Influence





















Coefficients For Multivariate Linear Equation

βΟ	4.37929	4.09071
Surface elevation (msl)	0.53517	0.54799
Bottom elevation (msl)	0.47516	0.46126
Overburden thickness (ft)	-0.0077	-0.0107
Thickness of the mined coal seam (ft)	-0.0019	-0.0021
Thickness of shale + clay (ft)	-0.0018	0.00069
Thickness of sandstone (ft)	0.02148	0.02124
Thickness of limestone (ft)	-0.0104	-0.0138
Total thickness of coal (ft)	-0.0009	-0.0012
Accumulative coal volume (Mm ³)	0.00204	0.00041
Area of underground mines in a 4mile buffer (acres)	-0.0001	-0.0001
Average precipitation (in)	-0.0027	-0.003

The best student-centered learning experience in America

Variable



Goodness-of-fit Indexes

	Nash-		Mean		Root Mean	Relative
	Sutcliffe		Absolute	Volumetric	Square	Index of
	Efficiency	Percent Bias	Error	Efficiency	Error	Agreement
		(%)	(ft)		(ft)	
Ideal value	1	0	0	1	0	1
PCR	0.9873	4.50E-07	0.029	1	21.271	0.9958
PLS	0.9876	1.48E-06	0.024	1	21.045	0.9959



Applying The Model

- What will the potentiometric head be with respect to the mined coal seam after mining?
 - Extrapolate bottom of well elevation to bottom of mined coal seam elevation
 - Maximum accumulative coal volume
 - No water withdrawal



 $y = f(surface \ elevation, bottom \ elevation \dots etc.)$





All modeled mines will be flooded





48% of mines will have water levels higher than the observed heads before closing, 52% will have water levels lower than the observed heads before closing



Another method: Artificial Neural Network

- Artificial Neural Network was used to build predictive models for mine pool development finding relationships between mine parameters and the development of mine pools.
- Artificial Neural Networks are computational method formed by individual cells that perform computational calculations similar to the way the human brain works, learning from training data.





- 10% of data was used for validation.
- Group Method of Data Handling in NeuroShell 2 was used to produce various polynomial regressions.



Artificial Neural Network

- Three polynomial were modeled:
 - linear
 - second degree polynomial
 - third degree polynomial
- The table shows the second degree polynomial equation, most significant variables, and least significant variables for the average head.

Best formula:	Y=-1.7E-002*X11+4.5E-004*X10-2.3E-002*X4+1.6E- 002*X5-8.3E-002-1.5E-002*X7-1.4E-002*X6-4.9E- 002*X9+0.73*X1+0.37*X2+0.2*X1^2+0.24*X2^2- 0.52*X1*X2+4.7E-002*X1*X9+2.3E-002*X2*X9+1.4E- 002*X1^2*X9+1.5E-002*X2^2X9-3.3E-002*X1*X2*X9- 4.3E-002*X11^2-1.8E-002*X7^2-1.6E-002*X5^2+9.5E- 002*X10^2
2 COVINIANT	
Legend:	X1=2.*(Surface Elevation for Sampling Station (msl)- 545.)/8351.
	X2=2.*(Bottom of well elevation (msl)-80.)/12201.
	X3=2.*(Overburden thickness (ft)-56.)/506.6-1.
	X4=2.*(Thickness of mined coal seam (ft)-1.17)/10.59-1.
	X5=2.*(Thickness Shale + Clay (ft)-13.9)/452.53-1.
	X6=2.*Thickness Sandstone (ft)/258.71-1.
	X7=2.*Thickness Limestone (ft)/204.97-1.
	X8=2.*Thickness Coal (ft)/33.23-1.
	X9=2.*Accumulative Coal Volume (Mm^3)/146.18-1.
	X10=2.*(Underground Mine Area 4mi (acres)-
	617.98)/110430.52-1.
	X11=2.*(Average Annual Precipitation (in)-37.)/41.
3	Y=2.*(Average Head (msl)-400.)/930.67-1.
Most significant variables:	Surface Elevation for Sampling Station (msl)
	Bottom of well elevation (msl)
	Thickness of mined coal seam (ft)
	Thickness Shale + Clay (ft)
	Thickness Sandstone (ft)
	Thickness Limestone (ft)
	Accumulative Coal Volume (Mm^3)
	Underground Mine Area 4mi (acres)
	Average Annual Precipitation (in)
Less significant variables:	Thickness Coal (ft)



Application of Artificial Neural Network

- For this simulation, in the polynomial equations, the bottom of coal elevation was used to simulate the potentiometric head at the bottom of the mined coal layer after mine closure. Maximum coal extraction was also assumed.
- For the equation that contains water withdraw, zero water withdraw was simulated because free recovery of the water in the system is assumed and pumping is expected to cease.





Average calculated heads at the bottom of the mined coal layer after mine closure using the two modeling approaches and the top of the coal layer.



	Parameters without water withdraw				
		Maxinum	Average	Mininum	
Polynomial 1	Mean square error	0.01	0.01	0.01	
	R squared	0.97	0.96	0.94	
	Correlation coefficient	0.98	0.98	0.97	
	Norm. mean square error	0.01	0.02	0.03	
Polynomial 2	Mean square error	0.003	0.003	0.006	
	R squared	0.98	0.98	0.97	
	Correlation coefficient	0.99	0.99	0.98	
	Norm. mean square error	0.01	0.01	0.02	
Polynomial 3	Mean square error	0.002	0.002	0.004	
	R squared	0.99	0.99	0.98	
	Correlation coefficient	1.00	0.99	0.99	
	Norm. mean square error	0.004	0.005	0.01	



Conclusions

- Multivariate analysis and ANN are effective in the identification of important parameters
 - Thickness of the mined coal seam and total thickness of coal are not significant parameters
- Identified parameters that should be collected before, during and after mining
 - Water withdrawal from the mine
- This work is an initial effort to construct a model to predict the formation of mine pools
 - Should be improved with future research



Special Thanks To:

• Sponsors:

- Office of Surface Mining, Reclamation, and Enforcement
- Geological Society of America
- Ohio University Geological Sciences Alumni
- American Society of Mining and Reclamation

- OSM Research Team:
 - Frederick Twumasi
 - Rebecca Steinberg
 - Zachary Matthews
 - Dr. Dina Lopez
 - Dr. Natalie Kruse Daniels
 - Jen Bowman
 - Nora Sullivan
 - Robert Delach
 - Voinovich Scholars

