EFNM Waste Consolidation Area Site Selection, Design and Initial Construction

American Society of Mining and Reclamation



Cody J. Lechleitner, P.E. June 9, 2016



Coeur d'Alene Trust

- In December 2009, U.S. EPA announced the largest Superfund settlement in U.S. EPA history. The U.S. EPA settled with ASARCO for \$1.7 Billion for cleanups across the country.
- \$494 Million toward the cleanup of the Bunker Hill Superfund Site
- Settlement funds were placed in a Successor Coeur d'Alene Custodial and Work Trust (Trust)



Getting Started

What is the problem?

 Waste rock and tailings deposited high in the Coeur d'Alene Basin are the source of heavy metals (i.e., lead and zinc) contamination

Solution

- Remove the mine waste from its present location and place "high and dry"
- Start at the top of the basins and work down





East Fork Ninemile Creek Mine Waste





Where Does It Go?

Waste consolidation area location selection criteria:

- Close to remediation sites
- Large enough area to contain 1M to 3M CY mine waste
- Existing access roads present
- Potential clean soil and/or rock borrow source
- Free of complex land ownership issues
- Relatively flat
 - Waste will be stacked at 3:1 or flatter



Site Selection Tools

- **ESRI ArcGIS Slope Analysis** \bigcirc
- Google Earth \bigcirc
- Site Visit!

View From Tamarack









EFNM Waste Consolidation Area

Review Site Selection Criteria

Waste consolidation area location selection criteria: **V**Close to remediation sites Free of complex land ownership issues **V** Existing access roads present **W** Relatively flat Waste will be stacked at 3:1 or flatter Y Potential clean soil and/or rock borrow source Large enough area to contain 1M to 3M CY mine waste



EFNM Waste Consolidation Area

Design Criteria:

- Capacity 1.5M to 3M
- Maximize site flexibility
- Minimize impacts to surrounding environment
- Integrate rock and soil borrow needs
- Utilize existing roads
- Manage stormwater run-on



EFNM Waste Consolidation Area

Existing Conditions Evaluation:

- Access / property
 - One owner
- Rock
 - Need Up To 400K CY
- Soil Borrow
 - Need Up To 175K CY
- Flat area large enough for WCA base





Existing Conditions Slope Analysis – CIVIL 3D





Maximizing Buttress Efficiency

- North Buttress
- South Buttress
 - Many different size variations
 - What is the best size?
- Geotechnical considerations
 - Buttress slopes 2H:1V →
 flexible buttress rock fill
- Trial and Evaluate





Buttress Sizing – Trial and Evaluate



<u>BUTTRESS SIZE</u> NORTH BUTTRESS FILL VOLUME – 18,500 CY AVG TOP ELEV – 4,628'

SOUTH BUTTRESS FILL VOLUME – 2,500 CY AVG TOP ELEV – 4,570'





BUTTRESS SIZE NORTH BUTTRESS FILL VOLUME - 29,600 BCY AVG TOP ELEV - 4636'

SOUTH BUTTRESS FILL VOLUME - 30,100 BCY AVG TOP ELEV - 4615'



EFNM Waste Consolidation Area



Buttress Size vs. Waste Capacity Trial







EFNM Waste Consolidation Area



EFNM WCA Final Configuration

Design Information

- Buttress
 - Each Buttress ~35K CY after topsoil stripping
- Rock / soil borrow
 - 400K CY of rock or more
 - Expandable and almost entirely outside of the WCA footprint
 - Soil Borrow 250K CY or more
- Capacity
 - 1.5M CY
 - Expansion to ~2M possible







Why is this important?

Other Potential WCAs

- Max Capacity
 - 300K 1.05M CY
- Surface Area
 - 9 24 Acres
- Average Depth
 - 21 FT
- Design Slopes
 - 1.5(H):1(V)
- Est. Min. Cost
 - \$28.40 / CY

EFNM WCA

- Capacity 1.5M CY
 - Expandable to 2M CY
- Surface Area
 - 24 Acres
- Average Depth
 - 39 FT
- Design Slopes
 - 3(H):1(V)
- Estimate Cost
 - \$17.12 / CY
- WASTE PLACEMENT SAVINGS
 - \$17 M
- ROCK / SOIL BORROW SAVINGS
 \$8 M



EFNM WCA Construction

Initial Construction Activities

- Construction Season in Upper Basin
 - Very Short Season
 - Mid-May \rightarrow Mid-November
- NWCS Began Mobilization July 8, 2013
 - Late Start
 - Nesting Birds
 - Final Approvals





Soil Salvage Plan



Soil Salvage

- Planned to stockpile 100K CY
- Up to 5 feet of Topsoil
- Usable subsoil from 5 to 15 feet
- Mostly decomposed bedrock beyond 15 feet
- Screen 6-inch plus
 - Use screened as rock product



Quarry Plan

- Rock Products Needed
 - ~70K CY Buttress Rock
 - ~ ~25K CY 1" 3" Drainage Rock
 - ~25K CY 1" Minus
 - ~5K CY Misc. Riprap
 - up to 36-inch diameter



North and South Buttress Plan



- Buttress Construction
 - Remove topsoil
 - Bench / Toe Drainage System
 - Buttress Rock Fill
 - 3" to 12" or 6" to 24" Rock
 - 2 or 3-Foot Lifts
 - 5-Passes w/ Vibratory Roller
 - North Buttress
 - ~35K CY
 - South Buttress
 - ~35K CY



North Buttress (as constructed)

- Final Volume ~34K
- Toe Bench / Drain Installed
 Dry
- Very Steep Terrain
 - Difficult To Get Rock To Toe
- Topsoil Salvage Very Difficult
 - Removed Majority
 - Very Rocky





Photo Provided By Alan Davis – Maul Foster and Alongi

20



South Buttress (as constructed)

- Final Volume ~44K
 - ~22K in 2013
 - Did Not Complete in 2013
- Toe Bench / Drain Installed
 - Very Wet / Natural Spring At Toe
 - Difficult To Prepare
 - Difficult To Define Toe







South Buttress (as constructed)

South Buttress Toe Drain





Base Drainage System Plan



- Remove Topsoil / Subsoil
- Prepare Foundation
- Install Secondary Drainage
 Pipe Trench
- Place Geotextile
- 2 Feet of 1" to 3" Drainage Rock
- Place Geotextile



Initial Development Completed in 2014

- Remobilization Costs
- Perimeter Drainage Ditches
- South Buttress
- Soil Salvage
- Interstate Callahan Waste
 Consolidation Begins







Interstate Callahan Waste Placement Plan

- 220K BCY Waste At the Interstate Callahan
- 120K BCY Planned For 2014
- Utilized Waste Transfer Area Until Initial Development Complete





Interstate Callahan Waste Placement (End of 2014)

- Approximately 159K BCY Hauled to WCA
- Final Volume of Waste in WCA is ~119K BCY
 - Compaction / Shrinkage
 Calculated at 25.2%
- Top of Waste Graded To Drain 1% to 2% Grade
- Temporary Cover Placement
- Winterization





Interstate Callahan Waste Placement (End of 2015)

- Total Waste 216K BCY 57K BCY in 2015
- Final Volume of Waste in WCA is ~164K BCY
 - Compaction / Shrinkage Calculated at ~24%
- Top of Waste Graded To Drain >5%
- Temporary Cover Placement and Winterization





Waste vs. Waste





Lessons Learned

Don't Trade June For November In The Upper Basin

- Get Designs Approved Early
- Can't Do Much About Nesting Birds But Wait

Lidar

- Expect Volume Variation May Be Significant
- Truth Check LiDAR Or Survey After Clearing And Grubbing
- Waste Compaction
 - Compaction / Shrinkage Hard to Estimate
 - Lots of Variation (i.e., grain size, debris, moisture content)
 - Time and Materials for Waste Placement and Compaction
- Temporary Cover Design
 - >5% Final Grades Encourages Runoff



Questions?

Cody J. Lechleitner, P.E., DBIA CDM Smith Inc. – Kellogg, Idaho Office 208-783-1801 (x100) lechleitnercj@cdmsmith.com



