Investigation of acid mine drainage remediation by co-treatment with municipal wastewater using the activated sludge process

Theresa Hughes Water Technology Research Group Trinity College Dublin

PhD Supervisor: Professor Nick Gray

Research funded by the Irish Research Council for Science, Engineering, and Technology Embark Initiative





Overview

- 1. Principles of research
- 2. Irish context
- 3. Major studies
- 4. Key conclusions





1. Principles of research

- 2. Irish context
- 3. Major studies
- 4. Key conclusions





Municipal wastewater

- Mixture of domestic and industrial wastewaters
- Net-alkaline (typical range 50-200 mg L⁻¹ as CaCO₃)
- Low metal concentrations







Activated sludge



Solids concentration 3-4 g L⁻¹
 Flocculation controls settleability and solids
 RCSET emoval





THE University of Dublin

Principles of process and supporting evidence

- Municipal wastewater net-alkaline
- Activated sludge biomass forms flocs
- Iron oxyhydroxide precipitates form (enhanced by presence of suspended solids in wastewater) (Johnson and Younger, 2006)
- Ferric iron at high concentrations
- Passive co-treatment of municipal wastewater and AMD

- Mix AMD and WW, pH increases, metal solubility decreases
- Metals adsorbed/precipitated and enmeshed in floc matrix, removed with solids fraction (Brown and Lester, 1979; Santos and Judd, 2010)
- Phosphate removed by sorption onto iron precipitates (Sibrell et al., 2009; Wei et al., 2008)
- AMD can replace commercial coagulants (Rao et al., 1992)
- Metals removed, net-alkaline effluent (Strosnider et al., 2011a)
- High BOD and nutrient removal efficiency (Strosnider et al., 2011b)
- Enhanced coagulation, sedimentation, and pathogen removal (Neto et al., 2010; Winfrey et al., 2010)





- 1. Principles of research
- 2. Irish context
- 3. Major studies
- 4. Key conclusions





Avoca: Site







Avoca: Mining legacy



•Approx. 9 Mt ore mined •Approx. 960,000 m³ mine spoils





Total site area: 0.63 km²
Open pits, waste piles, sparse vegetation





Avoca: Current Status

Ballymurtagh Adit (W side Avoca River)



Monthly mean flow: 8 L s⁻¹ (summer) – 35 L s⁻¹ (winter)
Acidity: approx. 700 mg L⁻¹ as CaCO₃ eq
Fe: 81.4 mg L⁻¹
Al: 14 mg L⁻¹
Cu: 0.3 mg L⁻¹
Cu: 0.3 mg L⁻¹
Pb: 0.3 mg L⁻¹
Mn: 6.0 mg L⁻¹
Cd 0.02 mg L⁻¹

Deep Adit (E side Avoca River)



- •Monthly mean flow: $10 L s^{-1}$ (summer) 70 L s⁻¹ (winter)
- •Acidity: approx. 600 mg L⁻¹ as CaCO₃ eq
- •Fe: 83.6 mg L⁻¹
- •Al 100 mg L⁻¹
- •Cu: 0.4 mg L⁻¹
- •Zn: 54.6 mg L⁻¹
- •Pb: 1.6 mg L⁻¹
- •Mn: 3.7 mg L⁻¹
- •Cd 0.1 mg L⁻¹





Avoca: Current Status

- Avoca AMD untreated
- EU Water Framework Directive
- Budget limitations

Sustainable solution needed

Research grant from IRCSET





- 1. Principles of research
- 2. Irish context
- 3. Major studies
- 4. Key conclusions





Process design







Key questions and studies

- Toxicity of AMD to microorganisms?
- Metal removal efficiency of sludge and wastewaters?
- Neutralization capacity?
- Impacts on wastewater treatment?

Treatability studies

- Metal removal and neutralization studies
- Performance evaluation





Treatability studies

(Hughes and Gray, 2012a)

Acute toxicity?

- Evaluate effects of shortterm exposure (3h) on microbial health
- Respiration inhibition tests





Sludge sample chambers

Acclimatization?

- Evaluate effects of longterm loading (26d)
- Multi-parameter assessments





TRINITY COLLEGE DUBLIN COLÁISTE NA TRÍONÓIDE, BAILE ÁTHA CLIATH

Metal removal and neutralization studies

(Hughes and Gray, 2013; Hughes, Gray, and Sánchez Guillamón, 2013)







Performance evaluation







Performance evaluation: Simulating three processes

Dissolved metals, sulphate, and acidity concentrations in synthetic AMD				
Parameter	Average concentration ^a (mg/L)			
	AMD: Process II	AMD: Process III	AMD: Process IV	
Al	56	57	9.9	Process II: No pre-
Cu	0.7	0.1	0.1	treatment
Fe	93	85	0.30	ucatment
Mn	4.9	4.4	1.2	
Pb	1	0.6	0.2	Process III: Mix with
Zn	35	35	28	digested sludge
SO_4	210	170	36	
pН	3.6	6.0	7.0	Process IV: Mix with
Alkalinity	0	7.2	27	MWW
Acidity	552.5	530.3	100.8	
Net acidity	552.5	523.1	73.8	
^{<i>a</i>} : Arithmetic mean of n=3 measurements				





Performance evaluation: AMD remediation





•Metal removals: •Al: 52-84% •Fe: 74-86% •Cu:47-61% •Pb: 100%

•Acidity:

- •Final effluents net-alkaline
- •Alkali supplement recommended

•Process achieving best effluent quality: Premixing with screened municipal wastewater (Process IV)



Performance evaluation: Metal removal



Net-alkaline AMD: 58-90%

R IRCSE



Day number

Performance evaluation: Wastewater treatment

Organics? No significant decrease in removal efficiency
Nutrients? Phosphorus removal significantly improved by Fe, Al



TRINITY COLLEGE DUBLIN

Performance evaluation: Sludge condition







- 1. Principles of research
- 2. Irish context
- 3. Major studies
- 4. Key conclusions





Key questions revisited

- Impacts on wastewater treatment?
 - Metal removal, neutralization achieved without detrimental impacts on COD/TOC/BOD₅ removal
 - Total phosphorus removal significantly improved where AMD contained 30 mg L⁻¹ Fe, 20 mg L⁻¹ Al
- AMD remediation?
 - Metal removal: highest based on scenario of premixing with MWW
 - Alkalinity key to metal removal





Concluding statement

Co-treating AMD with municipal wastewater using the activated sludge process is a feasible approach to AMD remediation which can achieve metal removal and neutralization without compromising wastewater treatment performance, provided that alkalinity is not a limiting factor. Process design must be selected according to AMD and empiricallydetermined removal efficiency using available materials.





Future Work

- Sludge disposal?
- Metal recovery?
- Sludge reuse?





References and credits

Brown, M.J. and Lester, J.N., 1979. Metal removal in activated sludge: the role of bacterial extracellular polymers. Water Research, 13: 817-837.

- Hughes and Gray, 2012a. Acute and chronic toxicity of acid mine drainage to the activated sludge process. Mine Water and the Environment, 31(1): 40-52.
- Hughes and Gray, 2012b. Co-treatment of acid mine drainage with municipal wastewater: performance evaluation. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. DOI: 10.1007/s11356-012-1303-4.
- Hughes and Gray, 2013. Removal of metals and acidity from acid mine drainage using municipal wastewater and activated sludge. Mine Water and the Environment. DOI: 10.1007/s10230-013-0218-8.
- Hughes, T.H., Gray, N.F., and Sánchez Guillamón, O., 2013 Removal of metals and acidity from acid mine drainage using liquid and dried digested sewage sludge and cattle slurry. Mine Water and the Environment. DOI: 10.1007/s10230-013-0217-9.

Johnson, K.L. and Younger, P.L., 2006. The co-treatment of sewage and mine waters in aerobic wetlands. Engineering Geology, 85: 53-61.

Neto, R.R. et al., 2010. Combined Treatment of Acid Mine Drainage and Sewage in the State of Santa Catarina - Brazil. In: Wolkersdorfer & Freund (Editor), Mine Water and Innovative Thinking. International Mine Water Association (IMWA), Sydney, Nova Scotia.

Rao, S.R., Gehr, R., Riendeau, M., Lu, D. and Finch, J.A., 1992. Acid mine drainage as a coagulant. Minerals Engineering, 5(9): 1011-1020.

- Santos, A. and Judd, S., 2010. The fate of metals in wastewater treated by the activated sludge process and membrane bioreactors: A brief review. Journal of Environmental Monitoring, 12: 110-118.
- Sibrell, P.L., Montgomery, G.A., Ritenour, K.L. and Tucker, T.W., 2009. Removal of phosphorus from agricultural wastewaters using adsorption media prepared from acid mine drainage sludge. Water Research, 43: 2240-2250.
- Strosnider, W.H., Winfrey, B.K., and Nairn, R.W., 2011a. Novel passive co-treatment of acid mine drainage and municipal wastewater. Journal of Environmental Quality, 40:206-213.
- Strosnider, W.H., Winfrey, B.K., and Nairn, R.W. 2011b. Biochemical oxygen demand and nutrient processing in a novel multi-stage raw municipal wastewater and acid mine drainage passive co-treatment system. Water Research, 45(3): 1079-1086.
- Wei, X., Viadero Jr., R.C. and Bhojappa, S., 2008. Phosphorus removal by acid mine drainage sludge from secondary effluents of municipal wastewater treatment plants. Water Research, 42: 3275-3284.



Winfrey, B.K., Strosnider, W.H., Nairn, R.W. and Strevett, K.A., 2010. Highly effective reduction of fecal indicator bacteria counts in an ecologically engineered municipal wastewater and acid mine drainage passive co-treatment system. Ecological Engineering, 36(12): 1620-1626.



Image credits

[A] <u>http://www.waterworld.com/index/display/article-</u> <u>display/199084/articles/waterworld/environmental/the-composition-and-</u> <u>treatment-of-active-sludge.html</u>

[B]<u>http://water.me.vccs.edu/courses/ENV195Micro/ProtozoalD.htm</u>

[C] <u>http://smoige.com/discussion/219/the-activated-sludge-process-is-like-this.-first-.../p1</u>

[D] Jenkins, D., Richard, M. and Daigger, G.T., 2004. Manual on the causes and control of activated sludge bulking, foaming, and other solids separation problems. IWA Publishing, 190 pp.



