

#### Using Stabilized Flue Gas Desulfurization Material to Reclaim Highwalls and Mitigate Acid Mine Drainage

Chin-Min Cheng<sup>1</sup>, Robert Baker<sup>1,</sup> Tarunjit Butalia<sup>1</sup>, John Massey-Norton<sup>2</sup>, Pedro Amaya<sup>2</sup>, William Wolfe<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Ohio State University, <sup>2</sup>American Electric Power



DOWER



COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL, ENVIRONMENTAL, AND GEODETIC ENGINEERING



# Stingy Run Fly Ash Impoundment



## Stingy Run Fly Ash Impoundment



#### Highwalls and Pits Around Stingy Run Impoundment







# **Reclamation using Fixated FGD Material**

#### Utilizing large volume of fixated flue gas desulfurization materials

FGD by-product (calcium sulfite) stabilized with fly ash and lime

#### Goals

Encapsulate acid mine drainage (AMD) producing materials

Neutralize AMD

□ Re-contour highwalls

#### Approaches

- Year I: field investigation; laboratory test; bench-scale study; numerical analysis of design approaches; background water monitoring
- Year II and III: permitting, water quality monitoring, construction of the demonstration project

## Mineral Composition of Gavin Stablized FGD Material



## Chemical Reactions between AMD and Stabilized FGD Material



Neutralization of AMD Portlandite  $Ca(OH)_2 + 2H^+ \rightarrow Ca^{2+} + 2H_2O$ Ettringite  $C_6Al_2(SO_4)_3(OH)_1 \rightarrow 26H_2O + 12H^+ \rightarrow$  $2Al^{3+} + 6Ca^{2+} + 3SO_4^{-2} + 38H_2O$ Iron oxides  $Fe_2O_2 + 6H^+ \rightarrow 2Fe^{3+} + 6H_2O$  $Fe_3O_4 + 8H^+ \rightarrow 2Fe^{3+} + Fe^{2+} + 8H_2O$ Carbonates Formation of potential secondary minerals Iron hydroxides, Chrysotile (Mg<sub>3</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>), diaspore (AIHO<sub>2</sub>), bixbyite  $(Mn_2O_3)$ , barite (BaSO<sub>4</sub>)

## **Neutralization Capacity**



# **Reclamation using Fixated FGD Material**

- Utilizing large volume of fixated flue gas desulfurization materials
  - FGD by-product (calcium sulfite) stabilized with fly ash and lime

## Objectives

□ Encapsulate acid mine drainage (AMD) producing materials

Neutralize AMD

Re-contour highwalls

#### Approaches

- Year I: field investigation; laboratory test; bench-scale study; numerical analysis of design approaches; background water monitoring
- Year II and III: permitting, water quality monitoring, construction of the demonstration project

## **Full-scale Demonstration**



Scale:

Ν



Approximate Highwall Location

Approximate Measurement of Distance

# **Reclamation using Fixated FGD Material**

- Utilizing large volume of fixated flue gas desulfurization materials
  - FGD by-product (calcium sulfite) stabilized with fly ash and lime
  - Goals
    - Encapsulate acid mine drainage (AMD) producing materials
    - □ Neutralize AMD
    - □ Re-contour highwalls

#### Approaches

- Laboratory test: batch and column leaching studies, development of geochemical kinetic model
- Bench-scale study: effectiveness of different reclamation design, numerical analysis of design approaches.
- □ Field: water monitoring and full-scale demonstration

## Water Quality Monitoring









## **Groundwater Monitoring**



## Surface Water Monitoring



## **Background Water Quality**



## **Bench Scale Testing**

Objective: <u>Calibrate</u> geotechnical and geochemical models to be used for full-scale demonstration project design



Assessment of AMD infiltration in absence and presence of coal drain

## **Bench Scale Testing**















#### **Bench Scale Model Configurations Tested**

|        | Parameters  | Results  |
|--------|---|--|
| Test 1 | FGD dumped<br>Water, No Geotextile<br>- Transducers at bottom   | Calibrated model for effective<br>permeability ratio (steady state<br>modelling)                           |
| Test 2 | FGD dumped<br>Water, Geotextile (1ft long, 1ft height from<br>bottom)<br>- Transducers above and below geotextile | Flow rates increased significantly   |
| Test 3 | FGD lightly compacted<br>AMD, No Geotextile<br>- Transducers at bottom  | Calibrated model for effective<br>permeability (transient modelling) for<br>lightly compacted FGD          |
| Test 4 | FGD lightly compacted<br>AMD, Geotextile (1ft long, 0.5ft height from<br>bottom)<br>- Transducers at bottom       | The presence of Geotextile does not decrease the flow rate   |
| Test 5 | FGD well compacted<br>AMD, No Geotextile<br>- Transducers at bottom   | Short Term- Calibrated model for<br>effective permeability (transient<br>modelling) for well compacted FGD |
|        |   | Long Term (In progress)-Change of<br>AMD property with longer contact<br>time                              |

## **Geotechnical Modeling**

- Seep/w is used to predict flow of water through FGD with and without geotextile
- Steady-State Analysis

 Calibrated model for effective permeability ratio using Steady-State Analysis (Test 1)



## **Geotechnical Modeling**

- Transient Analysis
  - □ Effective horizontal permeability ( $8 \times 10^{-3}$  cm/sec) with lightly compacted FGD (Test 3)
  - □ Effective horizontal permeability ( $8 \times 10^{-4}$  cm/sec) with well compacted FGD (Test 5 short term)
    - Significantly increased the time taken for AMD to reach steady-state



## Change of AMD Hydrochemical Property

#### Bench Scale-Tests 4 and 5



- □ Using AMD collected from the site
- $\Box$  24" head at the inlet
- □ Samples are collected from LL1, LL2, LL3, and/or outlet

#### Change of AMD Hydrochemical Property



## Laboratory Column Testing



- Simulate AMD neutralization process under similar percolation condition as reclamation
- Two columns with different L/S flow rates
  - □ Column I: ~1.0 L/S per day
  - $\Box$  Column II: ~2.0 L/S per day
- Monitoring change of AMD water quality with extended L/S ratio
- Temporal trend can be described by coupling solute transport and geochemical models.

#### Change of AMD pH after Contacting Fixated FGD Material in Flow Through Column Test as a Function of L/S Ratio



## **Constituents with Elevated Levels**



## **Elements with Decreased Concentration**



#### Elements Showing First Flush Phenomenon





# Summary

- Stablized FGD material can be effective in neutralizing AMD
  - One pound of Gavin fixated FGD material is able to neutralize approximately 20 gallons of AMD (~160 L/S)

#### Geotechnical Modeling

- V/H permeability ratio
- □ Effective horizontal permeability ( $8 \times 10^{-4}$  cm/sec) with well compacted FGD

#### Concentrations of COI

- As, B, Pb, Hg, Mo, Se, and Tl exceeded MCL/DWEL during the early stage
- Sb was constantly higher than MCL
- All of the concentrations of COIs are lower than either Ohio Maximum Acceptable Leaching Concentration and/or EPA's Toxicity level

## **Future Work**

#### Laboratory column test

- Examine the environmental response beyond the neutralization capacity of the fixated FGD material
- Coupling solute transport and geochemical kinetic models
  - Using data from column tests
  - Verified with bench-scale testing
  - Used to estimate the concentrations of COI for full-scale demonstration

#### Bench-scale reclamation module

□ Model site specific demo project cross sections

#### Full-scale demonstration

- □ Permit application
- Reclamation
- □ Water quality monitoring/data analysis

#### Coal Combustion Products Program

Ohio State's Coal Combustion Products Program focuses on sustainable, high-volume beneficial uses of coal combustion products (CCPs), primarily from sulfur dioxide scrubbing processes, in construction, reclamation, infrastructure rehabilitation, manufacturing and agricultural applications. This program advances the beneficial uses of CCPs from sulfur dioxide scrubbing processes as well as more traditional byproducts, including fly ash, bottom ash, boiler slag and fluidized-bed combustor ash. Re-use of CCPs provides a lowcost raw construction material; extends the life of landfills, and lessens the need for new ones; and helps keep energy production costs in check.



#### COAL COMBUSTION PRODUCTS PROGRAM







Funded by the Ohio Coal Development Office, Ohio State University, Ohio coal-fired utilities, ash marketers, private businesses and trade and farming organizations, the Coal Combustion Products Program Improves and discovers technically sound, environmentally friendly and commercially competitive uses of CCPs in many interdisciplinary sustainable applications.

The program aids the CCP industry through research, education, technology transfer and outreach in its efforts to:

- expand uses in proven areas, such as highway and agricultural applications;
- remove or reduce regulatory and perceptual barriers to use;
- develop new or under-used large-volume market applications, such as mine land reclamation; and
- place greater emphasis on sulfate and sulfite flue gas desulfurization byproducts utilization.



More than 500 animal feeding pads in more than 12 Ohio counties are made from coal combustion products, including feeding pads at The Wilds in Muskingum County.



© 2014 The Ohio State University OEE130139-CCP

#### THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

Coal Combustion Products Program 470 Hitchcock Hall 2070 Neil Ave. Columbus, OH 43210 Program Coordinator: Tarunjit Butalia Email: butalia.1@osu.edu Phone: 614-688-3408 To learn more, visit ccp.osu.edu.



#### **Comparison of Column and Bench Scale**

