By Jon Dietz, Ph.D. Iron Oxide Technologies, LLC jon.dietz@ironoxidetech.com www.ironoxidetech.com & Matt Morosetti W.K. Merriman, Inc.

AN INNOVATIVE PACKAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM FOR THE ORCUTT-SMAIL DISCHARGES



Who We Are?

IOT provides innovative and cost effective solutions to solve complex treatment challenges with simple and integrated treatment processes.





Orcutt & Smail Project South & North Passive Treatment Systems

2010 Photo



- Designed by NRCS with assistance from Damariscotta
- State-of-the-Art Multi-cell SAPS Flushing System
- > Constructed in 2006
- Construction Cost ~ \$1.1 million
- Initial Deterioration Conditions 2009
- Effluent Net Alkalinity < 0</p>
- System Failure 2011

Field and laboratory analysis of the South and North Discharges

5:2

Parameter	Unit	North	South	North/South Mixture
Field pH	s.u.	4.54	5.76	5.10
Lab pH	s.u.	4.57	6.00	4.99
Temperature	°C	9.8	10.9	10.2
Field Conductance	μS	2800	1810	2430
Lab Conductance	μS	2942	2080	2550
TDS	mg/L	2089	1477	1811
Dissolved O ₂	mg/L	0.8	0.35	0.7
"Cold" Acidity	mg/L as CaCO ₃	877	539	767
"Hot" Acidity	mg/L as CaCO ₃	629	245	481
Alkalinity	mg/L as $CaCO_3$	2	90	14
Total Iron	mg/L	309	148	284
Total Manganese	mg/L	111	63.6	103
Total Aluminum	mg/L	12.8	0.80	9.3
Total Calcium	mg/L	159	224	167
Total Magnesium	mg/L	229	135	215
Sulfate	mg/L	2640	1440	2110

Risk Analysis Matrix For Category 4 Passive Treatment Systems

Developed by PADEP with Technical Assistance by OSM

Risk Analysis Matrix					
Summation of Fe	Design Flow Rate for each treatment cell				
and Al Concentration	< 25 gpm	<u>≥</u> 25 < 50 gpm	<u>≥</u> 50 < 100 gpm	<u>≥</u> 100 < 200 gpm	
< 5 mg/L	Low	Low	Low	Low	
<u>></u> 5 but < 15 mg/L	Low Medium Medium Medium				
<u>></u> 15 < 25 mg/L	Low Medium Medium Medium				
<u>></u> 25 < 50 mg/L	Medium Medium High				
<u>≥</u> 50 mg/L	High	High	High	High	
Summation of Fe	Design Flow Rate for each treatment cell				
and Al Concentration	<u>≥ 200 < 400 gpm</u>	<u>≥</u> 400 < 800 gpm	<u>≥</u> 800 < 1600 gpm	<u>></u> 1600 gpm	
< 5 mg/L	Medium	Medium	Medium	High	
<u>></u> 5 but < 15 mg/L	Medium	High	High	High	
<u>≥</u> 15 < 25 mg/L	High	High	High	High	
<u>≥</u> 25 < 50 mg/L	High	High	High	High	
<u>> 50 mg/L</u>	High	High	High	High	

Field Treatability Study

Field Equipment/Setup

Step 1 - Lime Addition & Mixing Only



Step 2 – Aeration, Lime Addition & Mixing

Comparison of No Aeration Versus Aeration Hydrated Lime Dose Tests

"Green Rust" or Ferrous Hydroxide-Fe(OH)₂ formation is evident.

Stability of Fe(OH)₂ sludge is a concern as any pH decrease to less than 8 will resolubilize.



Ferric Hydroxide-Fe(OH)₃ formation is evident.

Stability of Fe(OH)₃ sludge is of limited or no concern a pH less than 3 is required to resolubilize.

AERATION TEST

NO AERATION TEST

Pre-Aeration/Reactor Tank Conceptual Cross-Section



Lime Slurry/Reactor System Construction Summer/Fall 2014 Start-up December 2014



Effects of Iron Accumulation & Repeated Flushing



Repeated Flushing Opens Drains Through Compost Directly to Limestone

Thick Iron Oxide Deposits Limit Permeability of Compost

System Installation



Reactor Tank System Delivery & Placement

Lime Slurry Tank Delivery & Placement



System Monitoring & Alarm Via Internet/Smart Phone

●●○○○ Verizon 중	11:52 AM		* •••
	Orcutt Sma	il	
×	Device Sta	tus	Ċ
Alarm Inpu	ts		
B lowe	r #1		i
2 Blowe	r #2		í
3 Aeratio	on Mixer #1		í
4 Aeratio	on Mixer #2	2	í
5 Lime S	Slurry Mixe	r	í
6 Pump	#1 Hose		í
🥂 Pump	#2 Hose		í
General Ala	arms		
Primal Present	ry Power		í
Batter	v Status		
	3	50g	000
Status Pump	ps Influent	Analogs	More

••000 \	/erizon ᅙ	11:52 AM		* 💷 י
	0	rcutt Smai	I	
×	Dev	vice Stat	us	Ċ
Alar	m Inputs			
	Pump #2 I	lose		i
Gen	eral Alarms	6		
	Primary P Present	ower		i
	Battery St 13.25 Volts	atus		i
	Signal Str -78 db	ength		i
Ana	log Inputs			
1	рН 9.30 рН			i
2	Lime Slur 68.13 %	ry Level		i
3	Pump #1 \$ 5.25 Hz	Speed		i
4	Pump #2 \$ 0.38 Hz	Speed		i
1.	6		ഹ	000
Status	Pumps	Influent	Analogs	More

System Monitoring & Alarm Via Internet/Smart Phone

••ooo Veriz	on LTE 10:	:30 AM	* ••••	
Orcutt Smail				
×	AVG Anal	og Readir	ıgs 💍	
Monda	y - 6/8/15			
рН	Lime Slurry L	Pump #1 Sp	Pump #2 Sp	
9.21 pH	68.11 %	5.90 Hz	0.15 Hz	
Sunday	/ - 6/7/15			
pН	Lime Slurry L	Pump #1 Sp	Pump #2 Sp	
9.28 pH	68.84 %	5.25 Hz	0.19 Hz 💙	
Saturda	ay - 6/6/15	5		
pН	Lime Slurry L	Pump #1 Sp	Pump #2 Sp	
9.27 pH	69.96 %	5.25 Hz	0.18 Hz 🗡	
Friday	- 6/5/15			
pН	Lime Slurry L	Pump #1 Sp	Pump #2 Sp	
9.17 pH	70.96 %	4.98 Hz	0.17 Hz 🗡	
Thursd	ay - 6/4/1	5		
pН	Lime Slurry L	Pump #1 Sp	Pump #2 Sp…	
9.39 pH	72.22 %	5.24 Hz	0.14 Hz 💙	
Wednesday - 6/3/15				
pН	Lime Slurry L	Pump #1 Sp	Pump #2 Sp	
9.40 pH	73.57 %	5.24 Hz	0.14 Hz 💙	
1.	6	1 5	S 000	
Status	Pumps Ir	nfluent Ana	logs More	

●●○○○ Verizo	on LTE 10:3	0 AM	* ■•	
	Orcut	t Smail		
〈 Back	Monday	- 6/8/15		
09:59 A	Μ			
рН	Lime Slurry L	Pump #1 Speed	Pump #2 Speed	
9.30 pH	67.50 %	5.25 Hz	0.00 Hz	
09:44 A	Μ			
рН	Lime Slurry L	Pump #1 Speed	Pump #2 Speed	
9.21 pH	67.50 %	5.25 Hz	0.00 Hz	
09:32 A	Μ			
pН	Lime Slurry L	Pump #1 Speed	Pump #2 Speed	
9.21 pH	67.50 %	38.63 Hz	0.38 Hz	
09:30 A	Μ			
рН	Lime Slurry L	Pump #1 Speed	Pump #2 Speed	
9.21 pH	68.13 %	0.00 Hz	0.00 Hz	
09:29 A	Μ			
рН	Lime Slurry L	Pump #1 Speed	Pump #2 Speed	
9.21 pH	68.13 %	5.25 Hz	0.00 Hz	
09:14 AM				
pН	Lime Slurry L	Pump #1 Speed	Pump #2 Speed	
9.21 pH	68.13 %	5.25 Hz	0.00 Hz	
1.		^و مي	000	
Status	Pumps Infl	uent Analogs	More	

Treatment System Operating & Effluent Quality



Treatment System Operating & Effluent Quality



Summary of Estimated/Actual Operation & Maintenance Costs.

Item	Unit	Estimate	Actual ²
		\$/yr	\$/yr
Lime Slurry	Tons as Ca(OH) ₂	\$20,352.00	\$18,450.00
Electricity	KwHr	\$12,410.00	\$9,400.00
Omnisite Service	NA	\$275.00	\$275.00
Routine Operation Labor	Hours	\$10,400.00	\$7,200.00
Routine Maintenance Labor	Hours	\$3,670.00	\$2,700.00
Routine Maint. Materials	\$	\$1,028.00	\$1,028.00
Sludge Removal	Per event	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00
Snow Plowing – Access	Per Event	\$800.00	\$400.00
Equipment Replacement ¹	Varies	\$11,500.00	\$11,500.00
ANNUAL O&M TOTAL		\$63,935.00	\$54,453.00

¹ Not included in annual O&M cost

² Based on 1st 6 months Operation

Can New Active Treatment Designs Operate at Lower Costs than Passive Treatment Systems for High Strength AMD



Special Thanks to:

Joe Ferrara, Mark Odenthal, Eli Heferle – PADEP, Knox Mining Office Pete Dalby & Terry Morrow – Mill Creek Coalition Janie French – Headwaters Charitable Trust

