

# Replacing an Active AMD Treatment System with Semi-Passive Techniques

Background Information
Site Characterization
Conceptual Site Model
Water Treatment Approach

Presented by: Tyler Chatriand, PE Sovereign Consulting Inc

## Active Mining - 1993



# **Existing ARD Treatment**

- Hydrated Lime Feed Plant
  - Mix alkaline media to neutralize pH and precipitate metals
- 25+ yrs old
- Weak structural integrity
- Inadequate pump system
- Remote Power Outages
- Single stage treatment
  - Insufficient Mn/Al removal



- Treatment Alternatives Analysis
  - Identify and Characterize the source

# Site Characterization

- Review Historical Data
- Inventory ARD Sources
- Establish Monitoring and Gaging Stations
- Evaluate Water Chemistry and Contaminant Loadings
- Identify Treatment Alternatives





# Post Mining - 2013



SOVEREIGN CONSULTING INC.

#### Data Analysis – Surface Water Flow



00

# Groundwater Influence



- Pumping tests: low K (0.07-0.14 f/d) in upper reaches; higher K (0.6-1.8 f/d) in lower
- Strong GW-SW interaction in lower valley (MW-13-04)

	WELL	METHOD OF ANALYSIS	TRANSMISSIVITY (ft²/day)	LENGTH OF SATURATED SCREEN INTERVAL (ft)	HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY (ft/day)	
	MW-13-05	Neuman	4.17	7.35	0.57	
		Theis - Recovery	13.3		1.81	
	MW-13-04	Theis	461	7.89	58.4*	
		Theis - Recovery	367		46.5*	
	MW-13-03	Theis - Recovery	0.671	4.9	0.14	
	MW-13-02	Theis - Recovery	0.446	6.65	0.07	
* Hydraulic conductivity is not considered highly accurate due to insufficient pumping rate and length of test						

# **Groundwater Influence**



GW Flow rate 0.02 f/d (upper) and 0.4 f/d (lower)

- GW quality is generally good
  - Neutral pH
  - Iron < 10 ppm
- Flow increase and WQ improvement downstream due to GW influx



#### Data Analyses – Acidity Loadings

- Conceptual Site Model
  - Acidity Loadings (pH, Fe, AI, Mn, flow rate)
- Compare acidity loadings from each source to the total acidity load observed at the treatment plant (as a percentage of the total loading at the site)
  - Identify data gaps
  - Prioritize treatment areas



## Acidity Loadings Comparison





Total Avg Acidity Load = 2700 lbs/day

## Water Treatment Alternatives

- Active Treatment
  - Uses chemicals, energy, labor, and infrastructure (high O&M)
  - Shortest HRT and smallest possible footprint
- Passive Treatment
  - Low-energy dynamics employed in natural biological and geochemical processes at ambient temperatures
    - No moving parts or power requirements
    - Low O&M
    - Long HRT and large footprint

#### Semi-Passive Treatment

- Utilizes moving parts and chemicals WITHOUT continuous power and labor required for active systems.
- Treat at the source

### Pebble Quicklime at ARD Source



#### Pebble Quicklime at ARD Source



#### Pond 14 Lime Dosing Footprint



#### Passive Mixing/Aeration – BioMost, Inc

MixWell







#### Passive Aeration - Trompe

#### Water-powered air compressor



#### Pond 14 Construction



# Seep 3 Lime Dosing



SOVEREIGN CONSULTING INC.

## Seep 5 Passive Treatment

- Added alkalinity from upper lime dosing systems
- "Clean" groundwater influx
- Controlled releases of stormwater ponds above the site
  - Currently piped to below permitted outfall
- Constructed Wetlands



#### Semi-Passive Treatment

- Capital costs << Completely Passive System</p>
- Annual O&M costs << Active System</p>
- No power = reliable treatment
- Treating at the source allows passive polishing systems to be installed downstream
  - Manganese removal beds
  - Open Limestone Channels
- Cost-effective bandage approach
  - Buys time to explore source control efforts

#### Questions?

Tyler Chatriand, PE, CFM

Environmental Engineer | email: tchatriand@sovcon.com

Bill Walker, PhD

Sr. Geochemist | email: <u>bwalker@sovcon.com</u>

#### Sovereign Consulting Inc.

2101 Fourth Ave, Suite 2130 Seattle, WA 98121

www.sovcon.com

Phone: 206-812-8265



