TREATMENT OF METAL-CONTAMINATED WATER USING BACTERIAL SULFATE REDUCTION: RESULTS FROM PILOT-SCALE REACTORS¹

by

Darryl H. Dvorak², Robert S. Hedin³, Harry M. Edenborn⁴, and Pamela E. McIntire³

Pilot-scale biological reactor Abstract. systems were installed to treat metalcontaminated water in an underground coal mine smelting residues dump in and at а The reactors consisted of Pennsylvania. barrels and tanks filled with spent mushroom within which bacterial sulfate compost, reduction became established. Concentrations of Fe, Zn, Mn, Ni, and Cd were lowered by over 95% as these metals were precipitated in the The formation of insoluble metal reactors. reaction with sulfides by bacterially generated H₂S was identified as an important metal retaining process in the reactors. This paper examines the chemistry of the reactor systems and opportunities for enhancing their metal-retaining and alkalinity-generating potentials.

Additional keywords: anaerobic reactors, heavy metal sulfides, spent mushroom compost.

'Paper presented at the 1991 National Meeting of the American Society for Surface Mining and Reclamation, Durango, Colorado, May 14-17, 1991. Publication in this proceedings does not preclude authors from publishing their manuscripts, whole or in part, in other publication outlets.

²Darryl H. Dvorak is a Research Biologist, U.S. Bureau of

Mines, Pittsburgh, PA 15236. ³Robert S. Hedin is a Research Biologist, U.S. Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, PA 15236. Harry M. Edenborn is a Supervisory Research Biologist, U.S.

Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, PA 15236.

Pamela E. McIntire is a Research Biologist, U.S. Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh, PA 15236.

Proceedings America Society of Mining and Reclamation, 1991 pp 109-122

DOI: 10.21000/JASMR91010109 https://doi.org/10.21000/JASMR91010109

Page 109

Introduction

Bacterial sulfate reduction has been identified a potentially valuable as process for removing contaminant metals from coal and metal-mine drainage (Tuttle et al., 1969; Hedin et al., 1988; Wildeman and Laudon, Under anaerobic 1989). conditions, sulfate-reducing bacteria oxidize simple organic compounds with sulfate, and thereby generate hydrogen sulfide and bicarbonate ions:

 $SO_{1}^{-2} + 2$ lactate \rightarrow

2 acetate + H_{2S} + 2HCO₂ (1).

Hydrogen sulfide reacts with many contaminant metals to form insoluble metal sulfides:

 $H_2S + M^{+2} \rightarrow MS + 2H^+$ (2),

where M includes metals such as Fe, Zn, Ni, Cd, Cu, and Pb. Bicarbonate ions can consume protons to raise the pH of acidic water:

 $HCO_3^+ + H^+ \rightarrow CO_2 + H_2O$ (3).

Hammack and Hedin (1989) and McIntire et al. (1990) experimented with simple water treatment reactors consisting of carboys and columns filled with organic matter in which reduction became sulfate Their reactors established. lowered iron, nickel, and manganese concentrations in synthetic mine drainage by 60 These results led us to 90%. construct pilot-scale to reactors of a similar design to evaluate their potential for treating metal-contaminated water. The design of these sulfate reduction reactors required:

- exclusion of oxygen,
- a source of sulfate (commonly present in contaminated water),
- a source of simple organic compounds to serve as a bacterial carbon source, and
- a means by which to physically retain the metal sulfide precipitates.

Low pH (<5) inhibits sulfatereducing bacteria (Postgate, 1984) and increases the solubility of metal sulfides, which required that the reactors also be designed to generate sufficient alkalinity to raise the pH of acidic inflows.

In this paper we present our initial findings on the performance and chemistry of the pilot-scale reactors, and discuss some strategies for enhancing their performance.

<u>Methods</u>

Two pilot-scale reactor systems were constructed in 1990. The reactors in each system consist of either capped barrels (Pittsburgh system) or covered tanks (Palmerton system), filled with looselypacked spent mushroom compost. Spent mushroom compost consists a composted mixture of of manure, hay, straw, corn cobs, and wood chips that has been conditioned with gypsum and limestone, and used for

cultivating mushrooms. Since it decomposes readily, the compost serves as a source of organic carbon for bacterial sulfate reduction, and its bulk physically serves to form detain any precipitated solids. The different mushroom composts in each system used we contained 50-60 wt% organic matter and 10-15 wt% pulverized limestone (dry wt basis).

Pittsburgh reactor The system was installed to treat iron-contaminated acidic, drainage within the Experimental Mine at the U.S. Bureau of Mines, Pittsburgh This system Research Center. consists of three 200 L reactor barrels plumbed in series and receiving water from a 1140 L supply tank (see Figure 1[a]). Barrels were used instead of a single large reactor because of height limitations within the The underground coal mine. of this system location maintained a nearly constant temperature of 10°C, permitting operation during the winter.

Palmerton reactor The system was installed to treat metal-contaminated drainage from a smelting residues dump at the former New Jersey Zinc Company plant in Palmerton, Pennsylvania. This system 2 independent, consists of 4,500 L reactor tanks receiving water from a 3,500 L supply tank (see Figure 1[b]). The data presented for this system were collected during summer operation, when average ambient temperature ranged between 18 and 24°C.

Table 1 shows the quantity of compost contained in each system and the mean flow rates and residence times at which

each system was operated. As our primary objective was to evaluate the chemistry and water treatment potential of the reactor systems, we used residence times at which we significant metals expected In the removal to occur. Palmerton system, the flow rate through one reactor (reactor 2) was doubled after 10 weeks of operation to observe the effects of shortened а residence time.



FIGURE 1

Figure 1. Schematics of the Pittsburgh (a) and Palmerton (b) Reactor Systems.

Water Sampling and Analysis

Influent, effluent, and occasional porewater samples

	Pittsburgh	Palmertor	n System
	System	Reactor 1	Reactor 2
Volume of compost, L	624	3038	3038
Dry mass of compost, kg	68	572	572
Mean flow rate, ml/min	55	70	70/131 ¹
Mean residence time, days	5	17	17/9 ¹

Table 1. Selected physical characteristics of reactor system design and operation

Flow rate increased (residence time decreased) four weeks after the leaching phase.

were collected at 1-2 week intervals. Samples for metals analysis were acidified collection immediately upon (total metals) or following filtration through a 1.2 µm glass fiber filter (dissolved metals). Metal concentrations were determined using an ICP emission atomic spectrophotometer, except for absorption Cd (atomic spectrometry) and ferrous iron (titration with $K_{2}Cr_{2}O_{7}$). sulfide Dissolved was using iondetermined an specific electrode on samples preserved with NaOH. Sulfate was measured using a liquid chromatograph coupled with a conductivity detector, and alkalinity was determined by titration with 0.2 N H2SO4 to pH 4.5. Whenever possible, dissolved sulfide, alkalinity, and pH were determined on the same day the samples were collected.

Compost Sampling and Analysis

The spent mushroom compost used in each system was sampled before being placed in the reactors, and after 17-18 weeks system operation. of The samples 18-week from the Pittsburgh system were collected from depths of 10 cm and 60 cm in each of the 3 reactor barrels (maximum depth = 75 cm). The 17-week samples from the Palmerton system were collected from a depth of 60 cm (maximum depth = 135 cm) at 2 locations within each reactor. At each depth or location, a composite sample of 3-6 subsamples was collected by hand and immediately sealed in an argon-filled container.

total content of The inorganic cations and anions determined by ashing was subsamples in a muffle furnace 550°C for 6 hours, at and analyzing an HCl extract of the ash. A residual fraction consisting primarily of silica and clay minerals remained undissolved by this procedure. Calcium carbonate content was deduced from discrepancies in the mass and charge balances revealed by a comprehensive analysis of the ash extract. Sample moisture content was determined at 112°C.

The total iron content was expected to consist of а "fixed" fraction, tightly bound organic matter within and resistant clay minerals, and a "labile" fraction, occurring in forms, such as FeOOH, that are acidic and soluble under reducing conditions. Because of its potential solubility, labile iron would be the susceptible to leaching, and would be available for reaction with H₂S. The labile iron content of the compost samples from the Pittsburgh system was by extracting determined subsamples with 4 <u>N</u> HCl under an argon sparge, and analyzing the extract for iron.

A single subsample from the 10 cm depth in the first reactor barrel of the Pittsburgh system was examined by Mossbauer spectroscopy (Willard et al., 1974) to directly identify the iron minerals present.

Acid-volatile sulfide (AVS), present as monosulfides such as FeS and ZnS, and chromium-reducible sulfur (CRS), present as pyrite (FeS₂) elemental sulfur, were and acid determined by anoxic distillation (Cornwell and Morse, 1987). The H₂S was liberated with 6 <u>N</u> HCl, and trapped in 0.2 <u>N</u> NaOH.

Results

Following start-up, both systems underwent an initial phase during which Ca, Mg, Na, K, and sulfate ions were leached from the compost. By the time this phase was completed, effluent color had changed from dark brown to yellow; the effluent acquired a strong hydrogen sulfide odor; effluent concentrations of magnesium, sodium, and fallen to had potassium levels; and net influent sulfate consumption (reduction) metal retention became and discernible. The initial leaching phase was complete for the Pittsburgh system after 3.5 weeks of operation and 3940 L of flow, and for the Palmerton system after 6 weeks of operation and 4140 L of flow.

The results presented below pertain to system following the performance initial leaching phase. For the Pittsburgh system, a 14week period involving 6110 L of flow is considered, and for the Palmerton system, a 16-week involving 11,000 L period 19,300 L (reactor 1) to (reactor 2) of flow is considered.

Changes in Water Chemistry

Mean influent and effluent analysis results are water shown for the Pittsburgh system Table 2, and for the in Palmerton system in Table 3. systems lowered Both contaminant metal concentrations by greater than 95%, lowered sulfate concentrations by about 30%, produced circumneutral and effluents having hiqh alkalinity. The effluents from both systems contained high concentrations of dissolved sulfide (HS and H₂S), which, coupled with the lowering of concentrations, sulfate indicates that bacterial reduction sulfate was occurring.

Both systems continued to release calcium after leaching of the other base cations had

	Influent	Effluent
рН	3.7	6.9
Alkalinity, as $CaCO_3$	mg 17 ¹	/L 632
Total Fe Ferrous Fe Ferric Fe Suspended Fe	67 37 10 20	<0.2 <0.2 nd nd
Dissolved Al Ca Sulfate Dissolved sulfide	7 294 973 nd	<0.2 518 712 34

Table 2. Chemical analysis of influent and effluent water for the Pittsburgh reactor system.

Values are means of 8 influent and 11 effluent samples; nd = not detectible; ¹ Alkalinity present only in samples with pH >4.5 (n=2).

Table 3.	Chemical analysis o	of influent and	effluent water
	for the Palmerton r	eactor system	

	Influent	Effluent
рН	6.3	6.9
Alkalinity, as CaCo ₃	mo 17	g/L 1102
Zn Mn Ni Cd	302 24 0.85 0.29	0.42 0.50 0.03 <0.005
Ca Sulfate Dissolved sulfide	275 2989 nd	720 2352 109

Values are means of 9 influent and 18 effluent samples; nd = not detectible ceased. Possible origins for this calcium include the dissolution of limestone (a constituent of the spent mushroom compost) and the decomposition of calcium-rich organic matter.

The Pittsburgh system consistently lowered aluminum concentrations to less than 0.2 mg/L. Since aluminum does not form a stable sulfide in the presence of water, this removal likely resulted from its hydrolysis to insoluble Al(OH)₃:

 $A1^{+3} + 3H_{2}O$

 \rightarrow Al(OH)₃ + 3H⁺ (4).

This reaction is spontaneous at the circumneutral pH prevailing the reactor barrels within and Morgan (Stumm 1981). Ferric iron also hydrolyzes at circumneutral pH, but in the anaerobic environment within the barrels, it apparently was reduced to ferrous iron, which requires a much higher pH for hydrolysis. This reduction probably occurred in conjunction with the microbial oxidation of organic compounds ("CH₂O"):

 $4Fe^{+3} + CH_{2}O + H_{2}O$ --> $4Fe^{+2} + CO_{2} + 4H^{+}$ (5).

Ferric iron was never detected in water that had passed beyond 7% of the total system volume, though ferrous iron concentrations usually remained high beyond that point. Also, suspended ferric oxyhydroxide (FeOOH) did not visibly accumulate in the inflow zone of the first reactor barrel, which suggests that influent FeOOH was similarly reduced and dissolved:

4FeOOH + CH₂O + 7CO₂ + H₂O - + 4Fe⁺² + 8HCO₃ (6).

Analyses of pore water from the Pittsburgh system revealed that essentially all of the influent iron was removed by the first reactor Also, very little barrel. sulfide dissolved and alkalinity left the first barrel, thereby leaving the and third second reactor barrels to generate the high levels of dissolved sulfide and alkalinity observed in the system effluent.

Changes in Compost Composition

Table 4 shows the results of the analyses of Pittsburgh system compost. Total iron content for the second and third reactor barrels did not change significantly during the course of this study. This is consistent with the results of water analyses, which the very little detected iron leaching from the system, and indicated that which the influent iron was being removed entirely in the first barrel. Since little iron left the system, most of the original 63 μ moles of labile Fe/q compost was retained within the reactors.

Comparison of total iron contents indicates that the first reactor barrel accumulated 90 μ moles of Fe/g the from influent compost By adding water. this accumulated iron to the 63 μ moles/g of retained labile iron, a total retained iron content of 153 μ moles/g is

	Before	After 18	weeks
	operation	Barrel 2-3	Barrel 1
		umoles/g dry wt	;
Total Fe	136	133	226
Labile Fe	63	nm ¹	nm ¹
AVS	<0.1	69	150
CRS	23	140 ²	140 ²
CaCO3	999	708	547

Table 4. Chemical analysis of the Pittsburgh system compost

Values are means of 4 to 12 samples;

'Not measured directly--probably equal to

corresponding AVS;

' System average.

obtained. This closely matches the acid-volatile sulfide (AVS) content of 150 μ moles/g that was found in the first barrel, thus suggesting that iron was retained primarily as ferrous monosulfide (FeS). In agreement with this, Mossbauer spectroscopy identified FeS as the predominant iron form present.

Note that, despite the of net absence iron accumulation in the second and third reactor barrels, AVS still accumulated there, evidently due to the reaction of H₂S with the labile iron in those barrels.

Of the total accumulation of reduced sulfur (AVS and CRS) within the system, an average of 55% was accumulated in the chromium-reducible sulfur (CRS) fraction. Mossbauer spectroscopy failed to detect any pyrite (FeS₂) in the compost, which suggests that elemental sulfur was the CRS form accumulated.

During system operation, the limestone content in the compost decreased by 35% for the entire system, and by 45% in the first barrel. This decrease confirmed the hypothesis that limestone dissolution generated much of the system's net effluent calcium and alkalinity:

$$CaCO_3 + CO_2 + H_2O$$

 $\rightarrow Ca^{+2} + 2HCO_3$ (7).

Limestone dissolution was evident in the second and third reactor barrels, where porewater pH was circumneutral, as well as in the first barrel, where pore-water pH was often lower. The mean rate of limestone dissolution for the system was 234 mg CaCO₃/L of flow.

	Before	After 1	7 weeks
	operation	Reactor 1	Reactor 2
		µmoles/g dry	wt
Zn	26	308	545
Mn	6	38	25
Fe	98	109	87
AVS	<0.1	259	198
CRS	45	108	184

Table 5. Chemical analysis of the Palmerton system compost

Values are means of 3 to 4 samples.

Table 5 shows the results of the analyses of Palmerton system compost. Note that, in this system, accumulated AVS accounts for only 40-80 % of the retained metals. This indicates that some of the metals were being removed by processes such as hydrolysis, addition being in to insoluble precipitated as sulfides. Chromium-reducible sulfur comprised 29 - 48 % of the total reduced sulfur (CRS + AVS) accumulated by the Palmerton reactors.

Sulfur Budget

The results of the water and compost analyses identified sinks for the hydrogen 3 sulfide produced by bacterial sulfate reduction: reaction metal ions to form with insoluble metal sulfides (AVS), conversion to CRS forms, and escape from the system in the effluent as unreacted sulfide. Figure 2 shows the mmol/L of



Figure 2. Sulfur budget for the Pittsburgh reactor system. Bar height represents total sulfate reduced, and is divided into portions accounted for by retained FeS (black), CRS (white), and effluent sulfide (shaded).

sulfate reduced (total bar height) over time for the system, Pittsburgh divided portions according to the by accounted for iron accumulation, effluent sulfide, and CRS (by difference). A11

Process	Mean Rate (nmol/cm ³ compost/day)	
Pittsburgh system	Entire System	- First barrel
Sulfate reduction ¹	377	id
Total iron retention ²	101	170
Retention of influent Fe	31	100
Retention of labile Fe	70	70
AVS accumulation ²	107	167
CRS accumulation ²	156	id
Palmerton system	Reactor 1 -	Reactor 2
Sulfate reduction ¹	276	235
Zn, Mn, Ni, and Cd retention ¹	168	292

Table 6. Average rates of sulfate reduction, metal retention, and AVS/CRS accumulation for the reactor systems.

^{1,2} Calculated from changes in water chemistry (1) or substrate composition (2); id = insufficient data.

of the sulfate removed from the influent water was assumed to have been reduced to H.S. Since the influent iron was completely retained by the the bar segment system, retention representing iron also represents influent iron concentration. Note that, for weeks 4 and 8, when influent iron concentrations were less than 0.5 mmol/L (28 mg/L), over 4 times as much sulfide escaped in the effluent as was retained In contrast, during as FeS. weeks 9 and 10, when influent iron concentrations were around 2.4 mmol/L (134 mg/L), nearly all of the sulfur reduced was FeS, retained as and very effluent little sulfide escaped.

Table 6 shows the mean rates of sulfate reduction, metal retention, and AVS/CRS accumulation computed for the 2 reactor systems. The iron retention and AVS/CRS accumulation rates for the Pittsburgh system were computed using compost analysis data:

<u>nmol change in X</u> g dry compost

x <u>g dry compost</u> cm³ moist compost

 $\frac{1}{days} = nmol/cm^3/day$

Compost analysis data were not used to compute rates for the Palmerton system because the compost sampling method was insufficiently representative. The other rates were computed from water analysis data:

<u>nmol/L change in X</u>, cm³ compost in system

 $\frac{\text{L of flow}}{\text{day}} = \text{nmol/cm}^3/\text{day}$

The rates of AVS accumulation almost equal those of total Fe retention for the Pittsburgh system, as would be expected if Note FeS was being formed. that the sulfate reduction rate for the Pittsburgh system exceeds the sum of the AVS and accumulation rates by CRS 114 nmol/cm³/day. This difference suggests an average effluent sulfide concentration of 37 mg/L, which is close to average of measured the By neglecting CRS 34 mg/L. formation, and similarly computing effluent sulfide from the Palmerton system rates, of 104 and 0 mg/L values obtained sulfide for are reactors 1 and 2, respectively. These concentrations are low in comparison with the measured averages of 149 (reactor 1) and 69 (reactor 2) mg/L. This indicates that more sulfide escaped the Palmerton system than unreacted the metal retention rates suggest, and further indicates that some of the metal removal observed in the Palmerton system occurred through processes other than sulfide precipitation.

Origins of Effluent Alkalinity

Both reactor systems produced effluents having high alkalinity. Equations 1, 2, and 4 - 7, describing the principal reactions consuming or producing alkalinity in the reactors, were used to derive the following equation for predicting effluent alkalinity (meg/L) from the absolute values of measured changes in the concentrations (mmol/L) of SO₄⁻², Ca⁺², FeOOH, M⁺², Al⁺³, and Fe^{*3}:

Alkalinity = $2SO_4^{-2} + 2Ca^{+2}$ + 2Fe00H - $2M^{+2} - 3Al^{+3} - 1Fe^{+3}$ + influent alkalinity - influent H⁺ (8).

The derivation of equation 8 assumes that equations 1, 2, and 4 - 7 are the sole sources of alkalinity and acidity, and that the concentration changes observed for the indicator ions are induced solely by the reactions they indicate.

Figure 3 shows the alkalinity values computed using equation 8, along with the corresponding measured alkalinity values for the system effluents. reactor Correlation of the 2 sets of values gives an r^2 of 0.92 (P < .01; n = 8) for the Pittsburgh system, and 0.87 (P < .01; n = 18) for the Palmerton system. This agreement between the 2 sets of values supports the hypothesized origins of effluent alkalinity.

Sulfate reduction (equation 1) and limestone dissolution (equation 7) were dominant sources the of alkalinity for both systems. total the computed Of alkalinity generated by these 2 reactions combined, limestone dissolution contributed an 53% for the average of 58% Pittsburgh system, for Palmerton reactor 1, and 71% for Palmerton reactor 2.



Figure 3. Alkalinity of effluents from the 2 reactor systems. Shaded bars depict measured values and solid bars depict computed values.

Discussion

The results presented in this paper confirm that contaminant metals can be insoluble precipitated as sulfides reaction with by biologically-generated hydrogen Several sulfide. strategies metalfor enhancing the retaining and alkalinityeffects generating are suggested by the results.

The maximum quantity of metal that can be precipitated in a sulfide form is dictated quantity H₂S by the of available for reaction. One means of enhancing metal retention, therefore, might be increase the rate of to reduction. bacterial sulfate (1990) found McIntire et al that when lactate, a preferred for sulfatecarbon source reducing bacteria, was added to metal-contaminated water the entering their reactors, sulfate reduction and metal increased. This retention that the type suggests or

quantity of organic compounds released by the decomposing spent mushroom compost limits the rate of sulfate reduction. Thus, the rate might be increased by filling the reactors with а different material, organic or by supplementing the compost by adding organic compounds to the influent water. Since sulfate reduction is microbial а process, its rate might also be increased by raising reactor temperature.

Another means of enhancing reactor metal retention would be to modify reactor design or operation so that all of the H₂S produced reacts completely to form metal sulfides and none is lost as effluent sulfide or CRS. For the Pittsburgh system, an inverse relationship was found between influent iron effluent and sulfide Similarly, concentrations. pore-water sulfide concentrations were low where dissolved iron was still and high present, where iron dissolved was absent. These observations demonstrate that excess sulfide, destined for release in the effluent, can be exploited for metals removal, provided the system is fed metal ions at а sufficiently high rate. For that metals are relatively toxic to bacteria, such as cadmium and copper (Postgate 1984; McIntire et al,. 1990), the influent metal load might best be adjusted by modifying flow rate, whereas, for other metals, influent concentration could also be modified. No means can be suggested for exploiting the H₂S converted to CRS forms, since the pathway of this conversion is poorly understood.

If acidic water is to be treated, the reactors must generate net alkalinity to prevent the bacteria from being inhibited and metal sulfides dissolved. from beinq Limestone dissolution in the reactors generated slightly than did alkalinity more sulfate reduction. When the compost limestone content eventually becomes depleted, or a reactor built without in limestone, sulfate reduction would have to be relied upon as alkalinity the principal generator. Since metal sulfide formation (equation 2) consumes as many moles of alkalinity as sulfate generated by are reduction (equation 1), no net alkalinity will be gained from sulfate reduction if all of the H₂S produced is consumed for metal retention. Thus, sulfate reduction serves as an important alkalinity source some H₂S remains only if unreacted. In the Pittsburgh system, this tradeoff between alkalinity generation and H₂S consumption observed Was directly, as most of the net alkalinity and excess H₂S accumulated in the second and third reactor barrels in the absence of iron removal.

This study demonstrates that sulfate reduction reactors the simple design of investigated here are capable of removing Fe, Zn, Mn, Ni, and from contaminated water. Cd reactor Without modifying design, metal retention rates can be increased by providing influent metal loads sufficiently to large completely consume the H,S generated. The theoretical maximum metal retention rate sulfate equal the will If acidic reduction rate.

water is being treated, the reactor interior must be protected from acidification, either by incorporating into the organic limestone substrate, or by operating the reactors at a lower metal retention rate such that H,S is produced in excess of the amount that will be consumed by sulfide formation. metal Higher rates of metal retention could also be attained by modifying reactor design to encourage higher rates of bacterial sulfate reduction.

<u>Acknowledgement</u>

authors acknowledge The Horsehead Resource Development Company, Palmerton, Pennsylvania, which is cooperating with the research Palmerton reactor on the system. In particular we thank Bill Haffner, Mr. project manager, and Mr. Bob Thompson, who have conducted most of the field work for the Palmerton project.

<u>References</u>

Cornwell, J. C. and J. M. Morse. 1987. Characterization of iron sulfide minerals in anoxic marine sediments. Marine Chemistry, Vol. 22, pp. 193-

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(87)90008-9

Hammack, R. W. and R. S. Hedin. 1989. Microbial sulfate reduction for the treatment of acid mine drainage: а laboratory study. In: Proceedings, Ninth Annual West Virginia Surface Mine Drainage Task Force Symposium, April 25-26, 1989, Morgantown, WV.

- Hedin, R. S., R. W. Hammack, and D. M. Hyman. 1989. importance Potential of sulfate reduction processes in wetlands constructed to treat mine drainage. In: Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment, D. A. Hammer (ed.), Lewis Publishers, Chelsea, MD, pp. 508-514.
- McIntire, P. E., H. M. Edenborn, and R. W. Hammack. 1990. Incorporation of bacterial reduction sulfate into constructed wetlands for the treatment of acid and metal drainage. mine In: Proceedings, The 1990 National Symposium On Mining, May 14-18, 1990, Knoxville, TN. 207pp. 213.
- Postgate, J. R. 1984. The Sulphate-reducing Bacteria. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY, 208 p.

- Stumm, W., and J. J. Morgan. 1981. Aquatic Chemistry, Second Edition. John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 780 p.
- Tuttle, J. H., P. R. Dugan, and C. I. Randles. 1969. Microbial sulfate reduction and its potential utility as an acid mine water pollution abatement procedure. Applied Microbiology, Vol. 17, No. 2, pp. 297-302.
- Wildeman, T. R. and L. S. Laudon. 1989. The use of wetlands for treatment of environmental problems in mining mining: non-coal applications. <u>In</u>: Constructed Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment, D. A. (ed.), Lewis Hammer Publishers, Ann Arbor, MI, pp. 221-231.
- Willard, H. H., L. L. Merritt, and J. A. Dean. 1974. Instrumental Methods of Analysis. D. Van Nostrand Company, New York, NY, 860 p.